

<b>Family Name</b>	Wolstencroft
<b>Given Name</b>	Gillian
<b>Person ID</b>	1287345
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Wolstencroft
<b>Given Name</b>	Gillian
<b>Person ID</b>	1287345
<b>Title</b>	JPA 31: Godley Green Garden Village
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>P4E, although important, does not meet the needs of the Hyde/Gee Cross/Godley area.</p> <p>Positively prepared? No. This area needs its Green Belt areas and they should not be built on. Green Belt is sacrosanct. Adding more housing in this area of East Manchester will have a massive negative impact on the current local infrastructure, which is already busy.</p> <p>Justified? No. The strategy is not appropriate for this area of Hyde/Gee Cross/Godley. There are other alternative (brownfield) sites in the Tameside area that should have been considered before Godley Green was earmarked.</p> <p>Consistent with national policy? No. This development is not sustainable; what is sustainable about ignoring brownfield sites and simply ruining more Greenbelt? The Ecological Survey carried out in February 2020 concluded that there would be substantive ecological constraints to the local wildlife and habitats (hedgerows and species-rich grasslands) The ensuing pollution will only impact more negatively on the quality of life for future generations and the local environment.</p> <p>Effective? No. The Historic Assessment carried out in 2020 by Salford University listed 22 heritage sites (including 14 undesignated buildings with a degree of historic significance) in the area and recommended that a geophysical field investigation is carried out pre-application.</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance</b>	<p>There should have been more community involvement and consultation carried out - in accordance with the Statement of Community Involvement for the 9 authorities taking part in the P4E.</p> <p>There should be a historical investigation of the area carried out.</p> <p>Were all the compliances with the relevant laws undertaken?</p> <p>There should be an inclusion of policies to tackle climate change and its impacts.</p>

**or soundness matters  
you have identified  
above.**